
Vol. 4 of 5 EP-457/2013/C Central Kowloon Route Yau Ma Tei West Contract No. HY/2014/20 January 2020







11 February 2020

Environmental Permit No. EP-457/2013/C

Central Kowloon Route

Independent Environmental Checker Verification

	. , ,
Reference Document/Plan	
Document/ Plan to be -Certified / Verified:	Monthly EM&A Report No.12 (January 2020)
Date of Report:	11 February 2020 (Rev. 1)
Date received by IFC:	11 February 2020

Yau Ma Tei West (HY/2014/20)

Reference EP Condition

Works Contract:

Environmental Permit Condition: 3.4

Submission of Monthly EM&A Report of the Project

3.4 Four hard copies and one electronic copy of monthly EM&A Report shall be submitted to the Director within 2 weeks after the end of each reporting month throughout the entire construction period. The EM&A Reports shall include a summary of all non-compliance. The submissions shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC as complying with the requirements as set out in the EM&A Manual before submission to the Director. Additional copies of the submission shall be provided to the Director upon request by the Director.

IEC Verification

Mondy 20.

I hereby verify that the above referenced document/plan complies with the above referenced condition of EP-457/2013/C.

Ms Mandy To Date:

Independent Environmental Checker

Our ref: 0436942_IEC Verification Cert_YMTW_Monthly EM&A Rpt No.12.docx



Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited

Unit C, 11/F, Ford Glory Plaza, No. 37-39 Wing Hong Street Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, H.K. Tel.: (852) 2698 6833 Fax.: (852) 2333 1316

Build King - SKEC Joint Venture

Central Kowloon Route Contract HY/2014/20
Section of Yau Ma Tei West Section

Monthly EM&A Report No. 12

(Period from 1 to 31 January 2020)

Rev. 1 (11 February 2020)

	Name	Signature
Prepared by	Karen K. Y. Cheung	d
Checked & Reviewed by	Nelson T. H. Tsui	74
Approved & Certified by	Kevin W. M. Li (Environmental Team Leader)	K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.	BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION	6
2.	ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS	8
3.	MONITORING RESULTS	11
4.	SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS	19
5.	EM&A SITE INSPECTION	20
6.	FUTURE KEY ISSUES	22
7	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	23

LIST OF APPENDICES

- A. Alignment and Works Area for the Contract No. HY/2014/20
- B. Construction Programme
- C. Project Organization Chart
- D. Dust Event-Action Plan (EAP)
- E. Noise Event-Action Plan (EAP)
- F. Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)
- G. Monitoring Schedules of the Reporting Month
- H. Calibration Certificate (Air Monitoring)
- I. Calibration Certificate (Noise)
- J. The Certification of Laboratory with HOKLAS Accredited Analytical Tests
- K. Location Plan of Noise and Air Quality Monitoring Station
- L. Monitoring Data (Air Monitoring)
- M. Monitoring Data (Noise)
- N. Waste Flow Table
- O. Statistics on Complaint, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions
- P. Monitoring Schedule of the Coming Month

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- A.1 Build King SKEC Joint Venture ("Contractor") commenced the construction works of Highway Department (HyD) Central Kowloon Route Contract No. HY/2014/20 Section of Yau Ma Tei West ("The Project") on 12 February 2019. This is the 12th monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) report presenting the EM&A works carried out during the period from 1 January 2020 to 31 January 2020.
- A.2 A summary of the construction works that undertaken for the Project during the reporting month is listed below.

Construction Activities undertaken

- Pre-drilling Works at Portion 1B, 1D, 1E and 1F
- Tree Felling at Portion 1B and 1E
- Socket H-pile at Portion 1B, 1D, 1E and 1F
- Bored Pile at Portion 1E
- Pipe piling works for Vent Adit Construction Ch 0-60 at Portion 9
- Excavation and Lateral Support (ELS) and Excavation for Vent Adit Construction at Portion 9
- D-Wall Construction at Portion 1F
- Backfilling at Box Culvert Ch 150-190 at Portion 1G
- Activities for Widening Road at Lai Cheung Road at Portion 1D
- A.3 A summary of regular construction noise and construction dust monitoring activities in this reporting period is listed below:

Regular construction noise monitoring during normal working hours

W-N1A, W-N18, W-N25A,W-P11 6 times

Construction dust (24-hour TSP) monitoring
W-A1 6 times
W-A6 6 times

Construction dust (1-hour TSP) monitoring

W-A1,W-A6 18 times

- A.4 No construction work was conducted during time period other than normal working hours during this reporting month.
- A.5 Inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials and non-inert C&D materials were the wastes that generated from this Project. During January 2020, 7784.9 tonnes of inert C&D material was generated from the Project. About 11.9 tonnes of non-recyclable non-inert C&D materials, such as general refuse, were disposed of at landfill. About 0.9 tonnes of chemical waste was generated during this reporting month. No plastic, and paper/ cardboard packaging were generated and sent to recyclers for recycling during reporting period.

- A.6 Bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures was conducted on 2, 16 January 2020. Bi-weekly landscape and visual inspection on the week of 27 January 2020 was cancelled due to the temporary site closure in response to coronavirus outbreak. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 5.
- A.7 Joint weekly site inspections were conducted by representatives of the Contractor, Engineer and Contractor's ET on 2, 9, 16, 23 January 2020. Joint weekly site inspection was cancelled on the week of 27 January 2020 due to temporary closure of the construction site for prevention of coronavirus outbreak. One joint site inspection with IEC also undertaken on 16 January 2020. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 5.
- A.8 No change has been made from the described in the approved EM&A, such as construction method, mitigation proposals and design changes.
- A.9 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of regular construction noise monitoring was recorded during the reporting period.
- A.10 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of 24-hour TSP and 1-hour TSP monitoring were recorded during the reporting period.
- A.11 No compliant was received in the reporting month.
- A.12 No non-compliance was reported in the reporting month.
- A.13 No summon or prosecution was received in this reporting period.
- A.14 No reporting changes were revised in this reporting period.
- A.15 A summary of the construction activities to be undertaken in the in the next reporting month is listed below:

Construction Activities to be undertaken

- Tree Felling at Portion 1B, 1E
- Socket H-Pile at Portion 1B, 1D, 1E and 1F
- Backfilling at Box Culvert Ch 150-190 at Portion 1G
- Activities for Widening Road Lai Cheung Road at Portion 1D
- D-Wall Construction at Portion 1F
- ELS and Excavation works for Vent Adit Construction Ch 0-60 at Portion 9
- Preparation works prior to U/G Watermain Installation at Portion 8

1. Basic Project Information

- 1.1. Central Kowloon Route (CKR) is a 4.7 km long dual 3-lane trunk road in Central Kowloon linking Yau Ma Tei Interchange in West Kowloon with the road network on Kai Tak Development and Kowloon Bay in East Kowloon.
- 1.2. The Central Kowloon Route Design and Construction Environmental Impact Assessment Report (Register No.: AEIAR-171/2013) was approved with conditions by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 11 July 2013. An Environmental Permit (EP 457/2013) was issued on 9 August 2013. Variations of EP (VEP) was subsequently applied for and the latest EP (EP-457/2013/C) was issued by EPD on 16 January 2017.
- 1.3. The construction of the CKR had been divided into different sections. This Contract No. HY/2014/20 Section of Yau Ma Tei West (YMTW) covers part of the construction activities located at Yau Ma Tei under the EP which includes:
 - Section of Yau Ma Tei West
 - i. Construction of an approximately 250m long Depressed Road at the western tunnel portal of CKR;
 - ii. Construction of a Landscaped Deck structure above the western tunnel portal and Hoi Wang Road, including the associated civil engineering provisions and coordination with CKR-RMW contractor in respect of the remaining works for the Landscaped Deck;
 - iii. Construction of an underground Ventilation Adit connecting the tunnel ventilation system with the Yau Ma Tei Ventilation Building;
 - iv. Construction of approach roads and slip roads, including bridges and other associated structures, connecting CKR with the existing road networks:
 - Bridge B
 - Bridge C
 - Bridge D
 - Bridge G
 - Road D Structure
 - Box Structure E
 - Diversion of a section of existing drainage box culvert of approximately 215m in length;
 - v. Design and construction of the noise mitigation measures at Slip Roads A, C2, D, E, G, Hoi Wang Road, Lai Cheung Road and Lin Cheung Road;
 - vi. Design and construction of Smoke Ventilation System including Smoke Ventilator System including Smoke Ventilator System, Linear Heat Detection System, Pneumatic Air Supply System, the associated plant rooms, control system and power supply system for part of the Landscaped Deck;
 - vii. Design and construction of the façade system of the Landscaped Deck;
 - viii. Design and construction of lifts at the Landscaped Deck;

- ix. Associated roadworks, footpath, drainage, sewerage, watermains, street lighting, traffic aids, landscaping, electrical and mechanical works, instrument monitoring works and utility diversion works;
- x. Construction of civil engineering provisions and coordination with future tunnel E&M and TCSS contractor for installation of tunnel E&M and TCSS equipment;

The alignment and works area for the Contract No. HY/2014/20 - are shown in Appendix A.

1.4. A summary of the major construction activities undertaken in this reporting period is shown in Table 1.1. The construction programme is presented in Appendix B.

Table 1.1 Summary of the construction Activities Undertaken during the Reporting Month.

Construction Activities undertaken

- Pre-drilling Works at Portion 1B, 1D, 1E and 1F
- Tree Felling at Portion 1B and 1E
- Socket H-pile at Portion 1B, 1D, 1E and 1F
- Bored Pile at Portion 1E
- Pipe piling works for Vent Adit Construction Ch 0-60 at Portion 9
- ELS and Excavation for Vent Adit Construction at Portion 9
- D-Wall Construction at Portion 1F
- Backfilling at Box Culvert Ch 150-190 at Portion 1G
- Activities for Widening Road at Lai Cheung Road at Portion 1D
- 1.5. There are no updates on the scope of works and construction methodologies.
- 1.6. The project organisational chart specifying management structure and contact details are shown in Appendix C.
- 1.7. A summary of the valid permits, licences, and /or notifications on environmental protection for this Project is presented in Table 1.2

Table 1.2 Summary of the Status of Valid Environmental Licence,

Notification, Permit and Documentations

Permit/ Licences/ Notification	Reference	Validity Period
Environmental Permit	EP-457/2013/C	Throughout the Contract
Notification of Construction Works under the		
Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust)	438845	Throughout the Contract
Regulation (Form NA)		
Wastewater Discharge Licence	WT00033736-2019	31 May 2019 to 31 May 2024
Chemical Waste Producer Registration	WPN5212-229-B2527-02	Throughout the Contract
Construction Noise Permit for Overtime Lifting,		
Energy Supply, Dewatering, Bentonite Plant	GW-RE0866-19	31 Oct 2019 to 28 Apr 2020
and others		
Construction Noise Permit for Hoi Wang Road	GW-RE1035-19	5 Jan 2020 to 29 Mar 2020
Realignment	G W -KL1033-19	3 Jan 2020 to 29 Wat 2020
Billing Account for Disposal of Construction	70302430	Throughout the Contract
Waste	70302430	Throughout the Contract

2. ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS

2.1. Environmental permit (EP) conditions under the EIAO, submission status under the EP and implementation status of mitigation measures had been reviewed and implemented on schedule. The status of required submissions under the EP (EP-457/2013/C) as of the reporting period for the Project are summarised in Table 2.1

Table 2.1 Summary of Status of Required Submission for EP-457/2013/C for the Project

EP Condition (EP-457/2013/C)	Submission	Submission date
Condition 1.12	Notification of Commencement Date of Construction of the Project	10 Jan 2019
Condition 2.3	Community Liaison Group	23 Nov 2017
Condition 2.4	Management organisation of the main construction companies	05 Jan 2019
Condition 2.5	Construction Programme and EP Submission Schedule	10 Jan 2019
Condition 2.6	Design Drawing	05 Jan 2019
Condition 2.8	Landscape Mitigation Plan	8 May 2019
Condition 2.9	Construction Noise Mitigation Measure Plan (CNMMP)	3 July 2019
Condition 3.3	Baseline Monitoring Report	24 Jan 2019
Condition 3.4	Monthly EM&A Report (Dec 2019)	14 Jan 2020

2.2. Details of the major construction activities undertaken in this reporting period are shown in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2 Summary of the construction Activities Undertaken during the Reporting Month.

Construction activities undertaken	Remarks on progress
• Pre-drilling Works at Portion 1B, 1D, 1E and 1F	•90%
• Tree Felling at Portion 1B and 1E	•95%
• Socket H-pile at Portion 1B, 1D, 1E and 1F	•28%
Bored Pile at Portion 1E	•Completed
• Pipe piling works for Vent Adit Construction Ch 0-60 at Portion 9	•Completed
• ELS and Excavation for Vent Adit Construction Ch-0-60 at	•10%
Portion 9	
D-Wall Construction at Portion 1F	•52%
Backfilling at Box Culvert Ch 150-190 at Portion 1G	• 30%
• Activities for Widening Road at Lai Cheung Road at Portion 1D	• 40%

2.3. The drawing showing the the project layout and the location of the monitoring stations and environmental sensitive receivers are attached in Appendix A and Appendix K. Co-ordinates of the monitoring location is shown in below:

Table 2.3 Summary of the location of the monitoring stations

Monitoring Location	Location ID	Latitude	Longitude
Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road)	W-A1/ W-N1A	22.313357	114.16409
Man Cheong Building	W-A6	22.308185	114.166033
Hydan Place	W-N18	22.30858	114.170185
Prosperous Garden Block 1	W-N25A	22.309846	114.168072
The Coronation Tower 1	W-P11	22.309824	114.165616

3. MONITORING RESULTS

3.1. Monitoring Parameters

Air Quality

- 3.1.1. The impact monitoring had been carried out in accordance with section 5.8 of the approved EM&A Manual to determine the 1-hour and 24-hour total suspended particulates (TSP) levels at the monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- 3.1.2. The sampling frequency of at least once in every 6 days, shall be strictly observed at the monitoring stations for 24-hour TSP monitoring. For 1-hour TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least 3 times in every 6 days should be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs.
- 3.1.3. General meteorological conditions (wind speed, direction and precipitation) and notes regarding any significant adjacent dust producing sources had also been recorded throughout the impact monitoring period.

Noise

- 3.1.4. Construction noise level shall be measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). L_{eq} (30min) shall be used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays.
- 3.1.5. For all other time periods, Leq (5min) shall be employed for comparison with the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) criteria.
- 3.1.6. As supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L_{10} and L_{90} shall also be obtained for reference.
- 3.2. Monitoring Equipment

Air Quality

- 3.2.1. 1-hour TSP levels and 24-hour TSP had been measured with direct reading dust meter and High Volume Samplers respectively. It has been demonstrated its capability in achieving comparable results with high volume sampling method as set out in the Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50).
- 3.2.2. The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated by the manufacturer prior to purchasing. Zero response of the instrument was checked before and after each monitoring event. Operation of the 1-hour TSP meter followed manufacturer's Operation and Service Manual. The 24-hour TSP meter was calibrated against firmware 80570-8100-V1.0.4, annually. Operation of the 24-hour TSP meter followed manufacturer's Operation and Service Manual. Valid calibration certificate of dust monitoring equipment is attached in Appendix H.
- 3.2.3. A summary of the equipment that was deployed for the 24- hour averaged monitoring is shown in Table 3.1. The TSP monitoring was conducted as per the schedule presented in Appendix G.

3.2.4. The equipment used for 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP measurement and calibration are summarised in Table 3.1

Monitoring Parameter	Monitoring Equipment	Serial Number	Date of Calibration
1-hour TSP	LD-5R Digital Dust Indicator	992818	3 Sep 2019
1-hour TSP	LD-5R Digital Dust Indicator	851820	23 Aug 2019
24-hour TSP	TE-5170X High Volume	1049	11, 30 Jan 2020
	Sampler		
	TE-5170X High Volume	1050	11, 30 Jan 2020
	Sampler		
	TE-5025A Calibration Kit	3465	22 Jan 2019
	TE-5028A Calibration Kit	3702	10 Oct 2019

Table 3.1 Construction Dust Monitoring Equipment

Noise

- 3.2.5. Sound level meter in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications has been used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The sound level meter has been checked using an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed and other metrological data has been recorded from Hong Kong Observatory- King's Park meteorological station, along with portable wind speed meter stand by as back up if malfunction occurred or data was not recorded from HKO.
- 3.2.6. An acoustic calibrator and sound level meter using for the monitoring is within the valid period and were calibrated per year. Valid calibration certificate of noise monitoring equipment is attached in Appendix I.
- 3.2.7. The details of equipment using for monitoring are listed in Table 3.2, as below:

Monitoring Equipment	Serial Number	Date of Calibration
Nti XL2 Sound Level Meter	A2A-13548-E0	10 Jan 2019
Nti XL2 Sound Level Meter	A2A-13663-E0	12 Sep 2019
Pulsar 105 Acoustic Calibrator	63705	28 Sep 2019

Table 3.2 Monitoring Equipment Used in Monitoring

3.3. Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC results

Air Quality

- 3.3.1. The 1-hour TSP monitor, portable dust meters (Sibata Digital Dust Indicator Model LD-5R) was used for the impact monitoring. The 1-hour TSP meters provides a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90° light scattering. Three 1-hour TSP level were logged per every six days.
- 3.3.2. The 24-hour TSP monitor, High Volume Samplers (Tisch TE 5170 High Volume Air Sampler) were used for the impact monitoring. The 24-hour TSP monitoring consists of the following:

- ◆ The HVS was set at the monitoring location, with electricity supply connected and secured:
- ♦ HVS was calibrated before commencing the 1st measurement;
- ◆ The filter paper was weight and provided by HOKLAS lab (Acumen Laboratory and Testing Limited and ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd) before and after the sampling. Certificate of HOKLAS accredited laboratory can be referred to Appendix J;
- The airflow over time during sampling process was recorded by the HVS.
- 3.3.3. HVSs was free- standing with no obstruction. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS:
 - ◆ Appropriate support to secure the samples against gusty wind needed to be provided the monitoring station;
 - ◆ A minimum of 2m separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samplers;
 - ◆ No furnace or incinerator flues was nearby;
 - Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted; and
 - ◆ Permission could be obtained to set up the samplers and gain access to the monitoring station.

3.3.4. Preparation of Filter Papers

- Glass fiber filters were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected;
- ◆ All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25°C and not varied by more than ±3°C; the relative humidity (RH)was 40%; and
- ◆ Acumen Laboratory and Testing Limited and ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Limited, as HOKLAS accredited laboratory, implemented comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes on the filters.

3.3.5. Field Monitoring

- The power supply was checked to ensure that the HVS was working properly;
- The filter holder and area surrounding the filter were cleaned;
- ◆ The filter holder was removed by loosening the foul bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully;
- ◆ The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter;
- ◆ The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges;
- ◆ The shelter lid was closed and secured with an aluminum strip;
- ◆ The HVS was warmed- up for about 5 minutes to establish run- temperature conditions:
- A new flow rate record sheet was inserted into the flow recorder:
- ◆ The flow rates of the HVS was checked and adjusted to between 1.22-1.37^{m³min-³}, which was within the range specified in the EM&A Manual (i.e. 0.6- 1.7 ^{m³min-³});

- ◆ The programmable timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hours ±hour, and the starting time, weather condition and filter number were recorded;
- ◆ The initial elapsed time was recorded;
- ◆ At the end of sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact;
- ◆ The filter paper was placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed; all monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet and
- ◆ The filters were sent to (Acumen Laboratory and Testing Ltd and ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd) for analysis.

3.3.6. Maintenance and Calibration

- ◆ The HVS and their accessories were maintained in a good working condition. For example, motor brushes were replaced routinely and electrical wiring was checked to ensure a continuous power supply; and
- ◆ The flow rate of each HVS with mass flow controller was calibrated using an orifice calibrator, Initial calibrations of the dust monitoring equipment were conducted upon installation and prior to commissioning. Five- point calibration was carried out for HVS using TE-5025 Calibration Kit. HVS is calibrated bimonthly. The calibration records for the HVS is given in Appendix H.

3.3.7. Wind Data Monitoring

◆ The wind speed has been recorded from Hong Kong Observatory- King's Park meteorological station, along with portable wind speed meter stand by as back up if malfunction occurred or data was not recorded from HKO

Noise

- 3.3.8. All noise measurements by the meter were set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}) in decibels dB(A). L_{Aeq(30mins)} was used as the monitoring metric for the time period between 0700 –1900 hours on normal weekdays. The measured noise levels were logged every 5 minutes throughout the monitoring period.
- 3.3.9. Prior to the noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter was checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Checking was conducted before and after the monitoring. The calibration level before and after the noise measurement is agreed to within 1.0 dB(A).
- 3.3.10. Noise measurements should not be made in presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 ms⁻¹ or wind with gusts exceeding 10 ms⁻¹. The wind speed was checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring with speeds in ms⁻¹.

Façade

Façade

3.4. Monitoring Locations

Air Quality

3.4.1. During the site visit, both of the original proposed dust monitoring locations were rejected due to the condition at The Coronation was not favorable for monitoring and the access was declined by the management office of Hong Kong Community College (HKCC) of PolyU. Two alternative air monitoring stations Yau Ma Tel Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road) and Man Cheong Building had been proposed by ET and approved by IEC. 2 designated air monitoring locations were identified and agreed with IEC and EPD. Details of air monitoring stations are described in Table 3.3. The location plan of air quality monitoring stations is shown in Appendix K.

Table 3.3 Location of the Dust Monitoring Stations

Air Quality Monitoring Station	Dust Monitoring Station
W-A1	Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road)
W-A6	Man Cheong Building

Noise

W-N25A

W-P11

3.4.2. During the site visit, one of the original proposed noise monitoring locations Tak Cheong Building was rejected by the president of the owner's corporation. Alternative noise monitoring station Hydan place had been proposed by ET and approved by IEC. 4 noise sensitive receivers designated noise monitoring locations were identified and agreed with IEC and EPD. The designated monitoring stations are identified and access was granted by the premises. The details of noise monitoring station are described in Table 3.4 and the location plan of noise monitoring station is shown in Appendix K.

Noise
Monitoring StationIdentified Noise
Monitoring StationType of MeasurementW-N1AYau Ma Tei Catholic Primary
School (Hoi Wang Road)FaçadeW-N18Hydan PlaceFaçade

Prosperous Garden Block 1

The Coronation Tower 1

Table 3.4 Noise Monitoring Stations

- 3.5. Monitoring date, time, frequency and duration
- 3.5.1. A summary of impact monitoring duration, sampling parameter and frequency is presented in Table 3.5.

Impact Duration Sampling Parameter Frequency Monitoring 1-hour continuous Dust 1-hour TSP 3 times per six days measurement 24-hour continuous Dust 24-hour TSP Once per six days sampling Once $L_{eq 30 min}$ from 0700 -30-minute continuous L_{eq 30 min}, Noise measurement L_{10} and L_{90} as reference. 1900 per seven days

Table 3.5: Summary of Impact Monitoring Programme

3.6. Result Summary

Air Quality

3.6.1. According to our field observations, the major dust source identified at the designated air quality monitoring station in the reporting month are summarised in Table 3.6

Table 3.6 Observation at Dust Monitoring Station

Monitoring Station	Major Dust Source	
$W - \Delta I$	Nearby traffic, construction work from West Kowloon Government Offices	
W-A6	Nearby traffic	

- 3.6.2. Air quality impact monitoring for the reporting month was carried out 3, 7, 13, 18, 24, 30 January 2020 at W-A6 and W-A1.
- 3.6.3. The results for 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP are summarized in Table 3.7 and Table 3.8. The measurement data and details of influencing factors such as weather conditions and site observation are presented in Appendix L.

Table 3.7 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring Location	Range(μg/m ³)	Action Level(µg/m3)	Limit Level(µg/m3)
W-A1	46-80	319	500
W-A6	48-76	306	500

Table 3.8 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring Location	Range(µg/m ³)	Action Level(µg/m3)	Limit Level(µg/m3)
W-A1	21-95	167	260
W-A6	31-93	166	260

Noise

3.6.4. According to our field observations, the major noise source identified at the designated noise monitoring station in the reporting month are summarised in Table 3.9:

Table 3.9 Observation at Noise Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Major Noise Source	
W-N1A	Nearby traffic, construction work from West	
W-NIA	Kowloon Government Offices, school activities	
W-N18	Nearby traffic	
W-N25A	Nearby traffic	
W-P11	Nearby traffic	

3.6.5. The construction noise impact monitoring for the reporting month was carried out on 3, 7, 13, 18, 24, 30 January 2020. The measurement data are shown in Appendix M and summarized in Tables 3.10:

Table 3.10 Summary of Noise Monitoring Results

Time	Monitoring	D 4		Range, dB(A)			
Period location	Parameter	$ m L_{eq}$	L_{10}	L ₉₀	Action Level	Limit Level#	
W-N1A Normal working hour W-N18	W-N1A		60.3- 63.6	62.3-65.6	58.4-60.6		70dB(A) or 65 dB(A) during examination**
	T	65.7-68.3	67.9-70.1	63.1-66.9	When one documented		
from 0700- 1900	W-N25A	Leq 30min	64.2-67.2	66.9-69.5	61.8-65.0	complaint is received	75dB(A)#
	W-P11		64.3-67.6	65.8-69.4	62.1-66.3		

Remarks:

- 1. # If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.
- 2. Limit level was 65 dB(A) during examination period from 9 to 14 January 2020 at W-N1A.

Waste management

3.6.6. The waste generated from this Project includes inert C&D materials, and non-inert C&D materials. Non-inert C&D materials are made up of general refuse, vegetative wastes and recyclable wastes such as plastics and paper/cardboard packaging waste. Steel materials generated from the project are also grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the materials were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials. With reference to relevant handling records and trip tickets of this Project, the quantities of different types of waste generated in the reporting month are summarised in Table 3.11. Details of cumulative waste management data are presented as a waste flow table in Appendix N.

Table 3.11 Quantities of waste generated from the Project

			(Quantity		
		Non-inert C&D Materials				
Reporting period	Inert C&D Materials (in 'tonnes)	Chemical Waste (in'000 Kg)	Others, e.g. General Refuse disposed at Landfill (in 'tonnes)	Paper/card board		Metals (in '000 Kg)
Jan-2020	7784.9	0.9	11.9	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS

4.1. The Environmental Complaint Handling Procedure is shown in below Table 4.1:

Table 4.1 Environmental Complaint Handling Procedure

Tuele III Environmental Companie Handing Hoccure				
Complaint Received via Project Hotline		Complaint Received via 1823 or from other		
		government departments		
Contractor notify ER, ET	and IEC	ER notify Contractor, ET and IEC		
Contractor log complain	nt and date of receipt ont	o the complaint database. Contractor, ER and ET to		
	conduct investig	gation of complaint		
If complaint is considered	d not valid	If complaint is found valid		
_				
ET or ER to reply the con	mplainant if necessary	Contractor to identify and implement remedia:		
		measures in consultation with the IEC, ET and		
		ER.		
		The ER, ET and IEC to review the effectiveness		
		of the Contractor's remedial measures and the		
		updated situation; ET to undertake additional		
		monitoring and audit to verify the situation in		
		necessary, and oversee that circumstances leading		
		to the complaint do not recur. ER to conduct		
		further inspection as necessary.		
If the complaint is refer	red by the EPD, the Con	tractor to prepare interim report on the status of the		
complaint investigation and follow-up actions stipulated above, including the details of the remedial				
measures and additional monitoring identified or already taken, for submission to EPD within the				
	time frame ass	igned by the EPD		
The ET to record the deta	ails of the complaint, res	ults of the investigation, subsequent actions taken to		

address the complaint and updated situation including the effectiveness of the remedial measures, supported by regular and additional monitoring results in the monthly EM&A reports

- 4.2. Should non-compliance of the criteria occur, action in accordance with the Action Plan in Appendix D and Appendix E shall be carried out. No non-compliance was reported in the reporting month.
- 4.3. No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of the regular construction noise was recorded during the reporting period
- 4.4. No exceedance of the Action and Limit Level of 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring was recording during the reporting period.
- 4.5. No environmental complaints were received in the reporting period.
- 4.6. No non-compliance was received in the reporting period.
- 4.7. No notification of summons and prosecution was received in the reporting period.
- 4.8. Statistics on complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions are summarized in Appendix O.

5. EM&A SITE INSPECTION

- 5.1. Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures under the Contract. In the reporting period, four (4) site inspections were carried out on 2, 9, 16, 23 January 2020, along with bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures conducted on 2, 16 January 2020. Bi-weekly landscape and visual inspection and joint weekly site inspection was cancelled on the week of 27 January 2020 due to temporary closure of the construction site for prevention of coronavirus outbreak.
- 5.2. One joint site inspection with IEC also undertaken on 16 January 2020. Minor deficiency was observed during weekly site inspection. Key observations during the site inspections are summarized in Table 5.1.

Date	Environmental Observations	Follow-up Status
2 January 2020	No major observation was found.	-
9 January 2020	1. Trees to be retained shall be well protected and kept tree from debris/ construction material at Portion 1E.	1. All debris and material inside the tree protection zone are removed.
16 January 2020	No major observation was found.	1
23 January 2020	Regular maintenance of NRMM label should be implemented in Portion 1B.	NRMM label was replaced.

Table 5.1 Site Observations


- 5.3. The Contractor had rectified all observation identified during environmental site inspections in the reporting period.
- 5.4. According to the EIA Study Report, Environmental Permit, contract documents and EM&A Manual, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents are implemented as much as practical during the reporting period. An updated Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS) is provided in Appendix F.

6. FUTURE KEY ISSUES


- 6.1. Work to be undertaken in the next reporting month are:
- Tree Felling at Portion 1B, 1E
- Socket H-Pile at Portion 1B, 1D, 1E and 1F
- Backfilling at Box Culvert Ch 150-190 at Portion 1G
- Activities for Widening Road Lai Cheung Road at Portion 1D
- D-Wall Construction at Portion 1F
- ELS and Excavation works for Vent Adit Construction Ch 0-60 at Portion 9
- Preparation works prior to U/G Watermain Installation at Portion 8
- 6.2. Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with dust, construction noise and waste management.
- 6.3. The tentative schedule of regular construction noise monitoring, 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring in the next reporting period is presented in Appendix P. Noted that noise monitoring station at the Coronation Tower 1 (W-P11) was temporarily suspended from 3 February 2020 to 16 February 2020 due to a case of novel coronavirus infection at the Coronation Block 2.
- 6.4. The construction programme for the Project for the next reporting month is presented in Appendix B.


7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 7.1. This 12th monthly EM&A Report presents the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 1 January 2020 to 31 January 2020 in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the requirement under EP- 457/2013/C.
- 7.2. Air quality (including 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP) and noise impact monitoring were carried out in the reporting period. No exceedance of the Action and Limit Level was recorded for construction noise and air quality impact monitoring during the reporting month.
- 7.3. Weekly environmental site inspections were conducted during the reporting period. Joint site inspection with IEC were carried out on 16 January 2020. Joint weekly site inspection was cancelled on the week of 27 January 2020 due to temporary closure of the construction site for prevention of coronavirus outbreak. Minor deficiency was observed during site inspection and was rectified within the specified deadlines. The environmental performance of the Project was therefore considered satisfactory.
- 7.4. No compliant was received in the reporting month.
- 7.5. Non non-compliance was reported in the reporting month.
- 7.6. No notification of summons or prosecution was received since commencement of the Contract.
- 7.7. The ET will keep track on the construction works to confirm compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.





Appendix A
Alignment and Works Area For the Contract No.
HY/2014/20






Appendix B Construction Programme







Appendix C Project Organization Chart

Project O-Chart





Contact List				
Party	Department / Company	Name of Contact Person	Position	Tel
Project Proponent	Highways Department			
The Engineer	Arup-Mott MacDonald Joint Venture	Baron Chong	Resident Engineer - Safety and Environmental	9122 5575
Independent Env. Checker	ERM-Hong Kong, Limited	Mandy To	IEC	2271 3313
Env. Team Leader	Acruity Sustainability Consulting Limited	Kevin Li	ETL	9779 2247
Contractor	Build King - SKEC Joint Venture	Wilfred So	Project Manager	2272 3138
		Felix Fong	Site Agent	9226 3611
		Calvin Li	Senior Engineer	9423 4998
		Banson Cheng	Superintendent	6112 8807
		Lee Kam Lung	Foreman	9161 0944
		Tony Tsoi	(*) Environmental Officer	5543 4424
		K.H. Tsui	Environmental Supervisor	9090 9052
		Harris Sun	Environmental Supervisor	5401 1046
		George Yip	Enviromental Supervisor	9838 9043



Appendix D

Dust Event-Action Plan (EAP)

EVENT	ACTION								
EVENI	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR					
ACTION LEV	ACTION LEVEL								
1.Exceedance for one sample	Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform IEC and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily.	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method. 	1. Notify Contractor.	Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate.					
2.Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Identify source; Inform IEC and ER; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	 Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate. 					
LIMIT LEVEL	LIMIT LEVEL								
1.Exceedance for one sample	Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform ER, Contractor and	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; 	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor;	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC					

EVENT	ACTION				
EVENI	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR	
	 EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. 	 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.	
2.Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; Identify source; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; In consultation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. 	

Note:

ET – Environmental Team

ER – Engineer's Representative

IEC – Independent Environmental Checker



Appendix E Noise Event-Action Plan (EAP)

EVEN T		ACTIO	N	
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Notify IEC and Contractor; Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. 	 Review the analysed results submitted by the ET; Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 	Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC; Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Limit Level	 Identify source; Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 	 Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractors remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 1.Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER

EVEN T		ACTION										
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR								
	 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 		5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	until the exceedance is abated.								

Note:

ET – Environmental Team

IEC – Independent Environmental Checker

ER – Engineer's Representative

Contract No. HY/2014/20
Environmental Monitoring & Auditing

Appendix F
Environmental Mitigation Implementation
Schedule (EMIS)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		Col	nstruction Dust Im	npact				
\$4.3.10	D1	The contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	APCO To control the dust impact To meet HKAQO and TM-EIA criteria	Implemented,rectified after observation
S4.3.10	D2	 Mitigation measures in form of regular watering under a good site practice should be adopted. Watering once per hour on exposed worksites and haul road should be conducted to achieve dust removal efficiencies of 91.7%. While the above watering frequencies are to be followed, the extent of watering may vary depending on actual site conditions but should be sufficient to maintain an equivalent intensity of no less than 1.3 L/m² to achieve the dust removal efficiency. 	Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	APCO To control the dust impact To meet HKAQO and TM-EIA criteria	Implemented
\$4.3.10		 Proper watering at exposed spoil should be undertaken throughout the construction phase; Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled or reinstated where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading; Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads; A stockpile of dusty material should not be extended 	Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	APCO To control the dust impact To meet HKAQO and TM-EIA criteria	• Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones; The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle. Where practicable, vehicle washing facilities with high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores; When there are open excavation and reinstatement works, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high should be provided and properly maintained as far as practicable along the site boundary with provision for public crossing. Good site practice shall also be adopted by the Contractor to ensure the conditions of the hoardings are properly maintained throughout the construction period; The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials; Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting, polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical continuously;						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		 sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the entire surface wet; Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting; Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry-pulverised fuel ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; Loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement or dry PFA should be carried out in a totally enclosed system or facility, and any vent or exhaust should be fitted with an effective fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabilizer within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies. 						
\$4.3.10	D6	Implement regular dust monitoring under EM&A programme during the construction stage.	Monitoring of dust impact	Contractor	Selected rep. dust monitoring station	Construction stage	• TM-EIA	Implemented
	1	Co	onstruction Noise ((Airborne)	1	,		

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
\$5.4.1	N1	 Implement the following good site practices: Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme; Machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs; Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be properly fitted and maintained during the construction works; Mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible and practicable; Material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other structures should be effectively utilized, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities. 	Control construction airborne noise	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM-EIAO	• Implemented
S5.4.1	N2	Install temporary hoarding located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs. The conditions of hoardings shall be properly maintained throughout the construction period.	Reduce the construction noise levels at low-level zone of NSRs through partial screening	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM-EIAO	Implemented
\$5.4.1	N3	Install movable noise barriers (typical design is wooden framed barrier with a small-cantilevered on a skid footing with 25mm thick internal sound absorptive lining), acoustic mat or full enclosure,	Sreen the noisy plant items to be used at all construction	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM-EIAO	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		screen the noisy plants including air compressors, generators and handheld breakers, etc.	sites					
\$5.4.1	N4	Use 'Quiet plant'	Reduce the noise levels of plant items	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	Annex 5, TM-EIAO	Implemented
\$5.4.1	N5	Loading/ unloading activities should be carried out inside the full enclosure of mucking out points.	Reduce the noise levels of loading/ unloading activities	Contractor	Mucking out locations	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM-EIAO	Implemented
S5.4.1	N6	Sequencing operation of construction plants where practicable.	Operate sequentially within the same work site to reduce the construction airborne noise	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM-EIAO	Implemented
\$5.4.1	N7	Implement a noise monitoring programme under EM&A programme.	Monitor the construction noise levels at the selected representative locations	Contractor	Selected rep. noise monitoring station	Construction stage	• TM-EIAO	Implemented
		Water C	Quality (Construction	on Phase)				

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
\$6.9.1.1		In accordance with the Practice Note for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage, Environmental Protection Department, 1994 (ProPECC PN1/94), construction phase mitigation measures shall include the following: Construction Runoff At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system will be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction; The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a silt/ sediment trap. The sediment/ silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates; The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/ sand traps should be 5 minutes under	To minimize water quality impact from the construction site runoff and general construction activities	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	Water Pollution Control Ordinance ProPECC PN 1/94 TM-EIAO TM-DSS	• Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m³/s a sedimentation basin of 30 m³ would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m³/s the basin would be 150 m³. The detailed design of the sand/ silt traps shall be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction; • All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means; • The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce the erosive potential of surface water flows, and all traffic areas and access roads protected by coarse stone ballast. An additional advantage accruing from the use of crushed stone is the positive traction gained during prolonged periods of inclement weather and the reduction of surface sheet flows; • All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated areas; • Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		of site drainage into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities; • Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50m³ should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system; • Manholes should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers; • Precautions be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes; • All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		roads. An adequately designed and site wheel washing facilities should be provided at every construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains; Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system downstream of any oil/ fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil interceptors to prevent flushing during heavy rain; Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality impacts; All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby; Adopt best management practices; All earth works should be conducted sequentially to limit the amount of construction runoff						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		generated from exposed areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as practicable.						
\$6.9.1.2	W2	 Cut-&-cover tunneling work should be conducted sequentially to limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as practicable. Uncontaminated discharge should pass through sedimentation tanks prior to off-site discharge; The wastewater with a high concentration of SS should be treated (e.g. by sedimentation tanks with sufficient retention time) before discharge. Oil interceptors would also be required to remove the oil, lubricants and grease from the wastewater; Direct discharge of the bentonite slurry (as a result of D-wall) is not allowed. It should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary storage locations (typically a properly closed warehouse) should be provided on site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities area completed. The requirements in ProPECC PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries. 	To minimize construction water quality impact from tunneling works	Contractor	All tunneling portion	Construction stage	Water Pollution Control Ordinance ProPECC PN 1/94 TM-DSS TM-EIAO	• N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
\$6.9.1.3	W3	Portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks are recommended for handling the construction sewage generated by the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.	To minimize water quality from sewage effluent	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	Water Pollution Control Ordinance TM-DSS	Implemented
S6.9.1.5	W4	 No direct discharge of groundwater from contaminated areas should be adopted. A discharge license under the WPCO through the Regional Office of EPD for groundwater discharge should be applied. Prior to the excavation works within these potentially contaminated areas, the groundwater quality should be reviewed during the process of discharge license application. The compliance to the Technical Memorandum on Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage on Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters (TM-DSS) and the existence of prohibited substance should be confirmed. If the review results indicated that the groundwater to be generated from the excavation works would be contaminated, the contaminated groundwater should be either properly treated in compliance with the requirements of the TM-DSS or properly 	To minimize groundwater quality impact from contaminated area	Contractor	Excavation areas where contamination is found	Construction stage	Water Pollution Control Ordinance TM-DSS TM-EIAO	• Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		 If wastewater treatment is deployed, the wastewater treatment unit shall deploy suitable treatment process (e.g. oil interceptor / activated carbon) to reduce the pollution level to an acceptable standard and remove any prohibited substances (e.g. TPH) to undetectable range. All treated effluent from wastewater treatment plant shall meet the requirements as stated in TM-DSS and should be discharged into the foul sewers. If groundwater recharging wells are deployed, recharging wells should be installed as appropriate for recharging the contaminated groundwater back into the ground. The recharging wells should be selected at places where the groundwater quality will not be affected by the recharge operation as indicated in the Section 2.3 of TM-DSS. The baseline groundwater quality shall be determined prior to the selection of the recharge wells, and submit a working plan (including the laboratory analytical results showing the quality of groundwater at the proposed recharge location(s) as well as the pollutant levels of groundwater to be recharged) to EPD for agreement. Pollution levels of groundwater to be recharged shall not be higher than pollutant levels of ambient groundwater at the recharge well. Prior to recharge, any prohibited substances such as TPH products should be removed as necessary by installing the petrol 						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		interceptor.						
S6.9.1.6	W6	Accidental Spillage In order to prevent accidental spillage of chemicals, the following is recommended: • All the tanks, containers, storage area should be bunded and the locations should be locked as far as possible from the sensitive watercourse and stormwater drains; • The Contractor should register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be generated. Storage of chemical waste arising from the construction activities should be stored with suitable labels and warnings. Disposal of chemical wastes should be conducted in compliance with the requirements as stated in the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	To minimize water quality impact from accidental spillage	Contractor	All construction site where practicable	Construction stage	Water Pollution Control Ordinance ProPECC PN 1/94 TM-EIAO TM-DSS	• Implemented.
		Waste Man	agement (Constru	iction Waste)				
S7.4.1	WM1	On-site sorting of C&D material Geological assessment should be carried out by competent persons on site during excavation to identify materials which are not suitable to use as aggregate in structural concrete (e.g. volcanic rock, Aplite dyke rock, etc.). Volcanic rock and Aplite dyke rock should be separated at the source sites	Separation of unsuitable rock from ending up at concrete batching plants and be turned into concrete for	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	• DEVB (W) No. 6/2010	• N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		as far as practicable and stored at designated stockpile area preventing them from delivering to crushing facilities. The crushing plant operator should also be reminded to set up measures to prevent unsuitable rock from ending up at concrete batching plants and be turned into concrete for structural use. Details regarding control measures at source site and crushing facilities should be submitted by the Contractor for the Engineer to review and agree. In addition, site records should also be kept for the types of rock materials excavated and the traceability of delivery will be ensured with the implementation of Trip Ticket System and enforced by site supervisory staff as stipulated under DEVB TC(W) No. 6/2010 for tracking of the correct delivery to the rock crushing facilities for processing into aggregates. Alternative disposal option for the reuse of volcanic rock and Aplite Dyke rock, etc. should be explored.	structural use					
S7.5.1	WM2	 Construction and Demolition Material Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for backfilling and reinstatement; Carry out on-site sorting; Make provisions in the Contract documents to allow and promote the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate; Adopt 'selective demolition' technique to demolish the existing structures and facilities with a view to recovering broken concrete effectively for recycling 	Good site practice to minimize the waste generation and recycle the C&D materials as far as practicable so as to reduce the amount for final	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	Land (Miscellaneo us Provisions) Ordinance Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		purpose, where possible; Implement a trip-ticket system for each works contract to ensure that the disposal of C&D materials are properly documented and verified; and Implement an enhanced Waste Management Plan similar to ETWBTC (Works) No. 19/2005 – "Environmental Management on Construction Sites" to encourage on-site sorting of C&D materials and to minimize their generation during the course of construction.	disposal					
\$7.5.1	WM3	 Standard formwork or pre-fabrication should be used as far as practicable in order to minimize the arising of C&D materials. The use of more durable formwork or plastic facing for the construction works should be considered. Use of wooden hoardings should not be used, as in other projects. Metal hoarding should be used to enhance the possibility of recycling. The purchasing of construction materials will be carefully planned in order to avoid over ordering and wastage; The Contractor should recycle as much of the C&D materials as possible on-site. Public fill and C&D waste should be segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. Where practicable, concrete and masonry can be crushed and used as fill. Steel reinforcement bar can be 	Good site practice to minimize the waste generation and recycle the C&D materials as far as practicable so as to reduce the amount for final disposal	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	Land (Miscellaneo us Provisions) Ordinance Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005	• Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		used by scrap steel mills. Different areas of the sites should be considered for such segregation and storage.						
\$7.5.1	WM5	 All construction plant and equipment shall be designed and maintained to minimize the risk of silt, sediments, contaminants or other pollutants being released into the water column or deposited in the locations other than designated location; All vessels shall be sized such that adequate draft is maintained between vessels and the sea bed at all states of the tide to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash; Before moving the vessels which are used for transporting dredged material, excess material shall be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of vessels and the excess materials shall never be dumped into the sea except at the approved locations; Adequate freeboard shall be maintained on barges to ensure that decks are not washed by wave action. The Contractors shall monitor all vessels transporting material to ensure that no dumping outside the approved location takes place. The Contractor shall keep and produce logs and other records to demonstrate compliance and that journeys are consistent with designated locations 	To control pollution due to marine sediment	Contractor	Along CKR alignment	Construction stage	• ETWB TCW No. 34/2002	• Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		 and copies of such records shall be submitted to the engineers; The Contractors shall comply with the conditions in the dumping licence. All bottom dumping vessels (Hopper barges) shall be fitted with tight fittings seals to their bottom openings to prevent leakage of material; The material shall be placed into the disposal pit by bottom dumping; Contaminated marine mud shall be transported by spit barge of not less than 750m3 capacity and capable of rapid opening and discharge at the disposal site; Discharge shall be undertaken rapidly and the hoppers shall be closed immediately. Material adhering to the sides of the hopper shall not be washed out of the hopper and the hopper shall remain closed until the barge returns to the disposal site. For Type 3 special disposal treatment, sealing of contaminant with geosynthetic containment before dropping designated mud pit would be a possible arrangement. A geosynthetic containment method is a method whereby the sediments are sealed in geosynthetic containers and, the containers would be dropped into the designated contaminated mud pit where they would be covered by further mud disposal and later by the mud pit capping at the disposal site, thereby fulfilling the requirements for fully confined mud disposal. 						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
\$7.5.1	WM6	 Chemical Waste Chemical waste that is produced, as defined by Schedule 1 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, should be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes; Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed, have a capacity of less than 450 L unless the specification has been approved by EPD, and display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the regulation; The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste, enclosed on at least 3 sides, have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20% of the total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest, have adequate ventilation, covered to prevent rainfall entering, and arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated; Disposal of chemical waste should be via a licensed waste collector, be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which also offers a chemical 	Control the chemical waste and ensure proper storage, handling and disposal	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste	• Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		waste collection service and can supply the necessary storage containers, or be to a reuser of the waste, under approval from EPD.						
\$7.5.1	WM7	 General Refuse General refuse generated on-site should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from construction and chemical wastes; A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to minimize odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law. Aluminum cans are often recovered from the waste stream by individual collectors if they are segregated and made easily accessible. Separate labelled bins for their deposit should be provided if feasible; Office wastes can be reduced through the recycling of paper if volumes are large enough to warrant collection. Participation in a local collection scheme should be considered by the Contractor. 	Minimize production of the general refuse and avoid odour, pest and litter impacts	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	Waste Disposal Ordinance	• Implemented
			Land Contamin	ation				
S8.9 & Appendix 8.4	LC2	Excavation of the Contaminated Soil Prior to commencement of the excavation works at the contamination zone, the zone should be clearly marked out on site and the surface levels recorded. Excavation of contaminated material should be undertaken using dedicated earth-moving plant.	The contaminated soil will be excavated for on-site reuse	Contractor	PBH4	Prior to commencement of construction works within the contaminated area	Practice Guide (PG) for Investigation and Remediation	Implemented

Acuity Sustainability Consulting Ltd.

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S8.9 & Appendix 8.4	LC3	 The excavated contaminated soils would be stockpiled at designated area on site and covered by sheet to prevent dispersion of contamination during stockpiling. The Contractor should pay attention to the selection of suitable groundwater lowering schemes and discharge points if the groundwater table is higher than the contaminated soils during excavation. The Contractor should also obtain a valid Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO) discharge licence from EPD where applicable. Following completion of the excavation to the specified depth, at least one sample from the base of the excavation and four samples evenly distributed along the boundary of the excavation shall be taken for a closure assessment testing. The acceptance criterion is shown below: Locations Testing Acceptance requirement Criteria PBH4 PCBs RBRGs (Public Park), no further excavation will be required. If the analysis indicates presence of contamination (i.e. noncompliance of the acceptance criteria), further excavation shall be carried out in 0.5m increment vertically and/or horizontally depending on 					of Contaminate d Land Guidance Notes for Contaminate d Land Assessment and Remediation Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-Based Remediation Goals (RBRGs) for Contaminate d Land Management	• Implemented


EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		the location(s) of the sample(s) which has exceeded the acceptance criteria. Further sampling shall also be conducted for compliance testing. The process of excavation, sampling and compliance testing should continue until all contaminated materials are removed and should be supervised by a Land Contamination Specialist.						
Appendix 8.4	LC4	A Remediation Report (RR) to demonstrate adequate clean-up shall be prepared and submitted to EPD for endorsement prior to the commencement of any construction/development works within the sites. No construction/development works shall be carried out prior to the endorsement of the RR by EPD.						• Implemented
			Hazard to Li	fe				
\$9.18	H8	The driver and his assistant should be physically healthy, experienced and have good safe driving records. The driver should hold a proper driving licence for the approved transport truck. Dedicated training programme and regular road safety briefing sessions/ workshops should be provided to enhance their safe driving attitude and practice. Smoking should be strictly prohibited.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
S9.18	Н9	Emergency response plans in case of road accident should be prepared and implemented. The driver and his assistant should be familiar with the emergency procedures including evacuation, and proper communication/ fire-fighting equipment should be provided to the driver and his assistant.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A


EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
			Landscape & V	'isual				
\$10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV3	Good Site Management Large temporary stockpiles of excavated material shall be covered with unobtrusive sheeting to prevent dust and dirt spreading to adjacent landscape areas and vegetation, and to create a neat and tidy visual appearance. Construction plant and building material shall be orderly and carefully stored in order to create a neat and tidy visual appearance.	Minimize visual impact	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	-	Implemented
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV4	Screen Hoarding Decorative screen hoarding should be erected to screen the public from the construction area. It should be designed to be compatible with the existing urban context.	Minimize visual impact	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	-	Implemented
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV5	Lighting Control during Construction • All lighting in the construction site shall be carefully controlled to minimize light pollution and night-time glare to nearby residencies and GIC. The Contractor shall consider other security measures, which shall minimize the visual impacts.	Minimize visual impact	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	-	• N/A
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV6	Erosion Control The potential for soil erosion shall be reduced by minimizing the extent of vegetation disturbance on site and by providing a protective cover over newly exposed soil.	Minimize landscape impact	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	-	• N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV7	Tree Protection & Preservation • Carefully protected during construction. Tree protection measures will be detailed at the Tree Removal Application stage and plans submitted to the relevant Government Department for approval in due course in accordance with ETWB TC no. 3/2006.	Minimize landscape and visual impact	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	'Guidelines for Tree Risk Management and Assessment Arrangement on an Area Basis and on a Tree Basis', Greening, Landscape and Tree Management (GLTM) Section, DEVB Latest recommende d horticultural practices from GLTM Section, DEVB	Implemented, rectified after observation
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV8	 Tree Transplantation For trees unavoidably affected by the Project that have to be removed, where practical transplantation will be chosen as the top priority method of removal. If this is not possible or practical compensatory planting will be provided 	Minimize landscape and visual impact	Contractor	Within Project site and designated off-site locations	Prior to Construction stage	ETWB TCW 3/2006 Latest recommende d horticultural	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		for trees unavoidably felled (See LV10). For trees unavoidably affected by the Project works that are transplanted, transplantation must be carried out in accordance with ETWB TCW 2/2004 and 3/2006.					practices from Greening, Landscape and Tree Management (GLTM) Section, DEVB • ETWB TCW 2/2004	
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV9	 Compensatory Planting For trees unavoidably affected by the Project that have to be removed, where practical transportation will be chosen as the top priority method of removal but if this is not possible or practical compensatory planting will be provided for trees unavoidably felled. All felled trees shall be compensated for by planting trees to the satisfaction of relevant Government projects. Required numbers and locations of compensatory trees shall be determined and agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process under ETWBTC 3/2006. Compensatory tree planting may be incorporated into public open spaces and along roadside amenity areas affected by the construction works and therefore be part of the bigger wider planting plans. Onsite compensation planting is preferred but if necessary, additional receptor sites outside 	Minimize visual impact and also enhance landscape	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	ETWB TCW 3/2006 Latest recommende d horticultural practices from Greening, Landscape and Tree Management (GLTM) Section, DEVB ETWB TCW 2/2004	• Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		the Works Area shall be agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process.						
		Cultural H	eritage Impact (Co	onstruction Phase)				
S11.4.4	CH1	The contractor should be alerted during the construction on the possibility of locating archaeological remains and as a precautionary measure, AMO shall be informed immediately in case of discovery of antiquities or supposed antiquities in the subject sites.	To preserve any cultural heritage items which may be removed and damaged by the excavation	Contractor	During construction works for cut and cover tunnels	Construction stage	AMOs requirements	• N/A
			EM&A Proje	ect				
S13.2	EM1	An Independent Environmental Checker needs to be employed as per the EM&A Manual	Control EM&A Performance	Highways Department	All construction sites	Construction stage	• EIAO Guidance Note No. 4/2010 • TM-EIAO	Implemented
S13.2-13.4	EM2	 An Environmental Team needs to be employed as per the EM&A Manual; Prepare a systematic Environmental Management Plan to ensure effective implementation of the mitigation measures; An environmental impact monitoring needs to be implemented by the Environmental Team to ensure all the requirements given in the EM&A Manual are fully complied with. 	Perform environmental monitoring & auditing	Highways Department/ Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	• EIAO Guidance Note No. 4/2010 • TM-EIAO	Implemented





Appendix H
Calibration Certificates
(Air Monitoring)



SIBATA SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY LTD.

1-1-62, Nakane, Soka, Saitama, 340-0005 Japan

TEL: 048-933-1582 FAX: 048-933-1591

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Date: August 28th, 2019

Equipment Name : Digital Dust Indicator, Model LD-5R

 Code No.
 : 080000-72

 Quantity
 : 1 unit

 Serial No.
 : 851820

 Sensitivity
 : 0.001 mg/m3

Sensitivity Adjustment : 640

Scale Setting : August 23rd, 2019

We hereby certify that the above mentioned instrument has been calibrated satisfactory.

Sincerely

SIBATA SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY LTD.

Tong Zhang

Tong Zhang

Overseas & New Business Group

Overseas Sales Department



SIBATA SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY LTD.

1-1-62, Nakane, Soka, Saitama, 340-0005 Japan

TEL: 048-933-1582 FAX: 048-933-1591

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Date: September 24th, 2019

Equipment Name : Digital Dust Indicator, Model LD-5R

 Code No.
 : 080000-72

 Quantity
 : 1 unit

 Serial No.
 : 992818

 Sensitivity
 : 0.001 mg/m3

Sensitivity Adjustment : 638CPM

Scale Setting : September 3rd, 2019

We hereby certify that the above mentioned instrment has been calibrated satisfactory.

Sincerely

SIBATA SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY LTD.

Tong Zhang

Overseas & New Business Group Overseas Sales Department



RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:

January 22, 2020

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: January 22, 2019

Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

Ta: 293

°K

Operator: Jim Tisch

Calibrator S/N: 3465

Pa: 756.9

mm Hg

Calibration Model #: TE-5025A

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4330	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0230	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9170	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8730	8.7	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7180	12.7	8.00

		Data Tabula	tion		
Vstd	Qstd	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$		Qa	√∆H(Ta/Pa)
(m3)	(x-axis)	(y-axis)	Va	(x-axis)	(y-axis)
1.0087	0.7039	1.4233	0.9958	0.6949	0.8799
1.0044	0.9818	2.0129	0.9915	0.9693	1.2443
1.0024	1.0931	2.2505	0.9896	1.0791	1.3912
1.0013	1.1470	2.3603	0.9885	1.1323	1.4591
0.9959	1.3871	2.8467	0.9832	1.3694	1.7598
	m=	2.08653		m=	1.30655
QSTD	b=	-0.03834	QA	b=	-0.02370
, [r=	0.99989		r=	0.99989

	Calculation	ns	
Vstd=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)	Va=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)
Qstd=	Vstd/ΔTime	Qa=	Va/ΔTime
	For subsequent flow ra	te calculatio	ns:
Qstd=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$	Qa=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$

	Standard Conditions
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
-	Key
ΔH: calibrator	manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP: rootsmete	er manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta: actual abs	olute temperature (°K)
Pa: actual bar	ometric pressure (mm Hg)
b: intercept	
m: slope	

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc. 145 South Miami Avenue Village of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.com TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610 FAX: (513)467-9009



RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:

October 10, 2020

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: October 10, 2019

Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

°K

Operator: Jim Tisch

mm Hg

Calibration Model #: TE-5028A

Calibrator S/N: 3702

02

Ta: 296

Pa: 748.03

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.3100	4.1	1.50
2	3	4	1	1.0240	6.7	2.50
3	5	6	1	0.9260	8.0	3.00
4	7	8	1	0.8620	9.4	3.50
5	9	10	1	0.6540	16.2	6.00

		Data Tabulat	ion		
Vstd	Qstd	$\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$		Qa	√∆H(Ta/Pa)
(m3)	(x-axis)	(y-axis)	Va	(x-axis)	(y-axis)
0.9855	0.7523	1.2192	0.9945	0.7592	0.7704
0.9820	0.9590	1.5739	0.9910	0.9678	0.9946
0.9803	1.0586	1.7242	0.9893	1.0684	1.0895
0.9784	1.1351	1.8623	0.9874	1.1455	1.1768
0.9694	1.4823	2.4383	0.9783	1.4959	1.5409
	m=	1.66723		m=	1.04399
QSTD	b=	-0.03281	QA	b=	-0.02074
•	r=	0.99991		r=	0.99991

	Calculation	s		
Vstd=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)	Va=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)	
Qstd=	Vstd/ΔTime	Qa= Va/ΔTime		
	For subsequent flow rate	e calculatio	ns:	
Qstd=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$	Qa=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$	

	Standard Conditions
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
	Key
ΔH: calibrator	manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP: rootsmete	er manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta: actual abs	olute temperature (°K)
Pa: actual bar	ometric pressure (mm Hg)
b: intercept	
m: slope	

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30.

Tisch Environmental, Inc. 145 South Miami Avenue Village of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.com

TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610 FAX: (513)467-9009

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

	YMT Catholic Primary				
Location:	School	Site ID:	W-A1	Date:	11-Jan-2020
Serial No: 1049		Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Tim

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	761.5	Temperature (deg K):	297.6

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-5025	Slope:	2.08653	
Serial No.:	3465	Intercept:	-0.03834	
Calibration Due Date:	22-Jan-20	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989	

Calibration Data

Plate or	In,H2O	Qa, X-Axis	I, CFM	IC, Y-Axis
Test #	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)
1	1.02	0.503	31.7	31.75
2	1.84	0.670	32.8	32.85
3	2.31	0.748	33.2	33.26
4	3.61	0.930	34.5	34.56
5	4.95	1.086	35.6	35.66

Sampler Calibtation Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)								
m=	6.6917	b=	28.3457	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993			
Sampler set point(SSP)		36	CFM					
	Calculations							
Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt([H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]		m = sampler slope					
IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]			b = sampler intercept					
			I = chart response					
Qstd = standard	flow rate		Tav = average temperature					
IC = corrected cl	nart response		Pav = average pressure					
I = actual chart r	esponse							
m = calibrator Qstd slope								
b = calibrator Qstd intercept								
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)								
Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)								
Tstd = 298 deg H	ζ							
Pstd = 760 mm H	[g							
For subsequent	calculation of sampler flow:							
(1.21*m+b)/[Sqr	t(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]							
	Tim							
Checked by:	ar reported Mar		Date:	11-Ja	n-20			

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

	YMT Catholic Primary				
Location:	School	Site ID:	W-A1	Date:	30-Jan-2020
Serial No:	1049	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Tim

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	766.2	Temperature (deg K):	291.2

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-5028	Slope:	1.66723
Serial No.:	3702	Intercept:	-0.03281
Calibration Due Date:	10-Oct-20	Corr. Coeff:	0.99991

Calibration Data

Plate or	In,H2O	Qa, X-Axis	I, CFM	IC, Y-Axis
Test #	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)
1	1.98	0.877	29.7	30.17
2	2.14	0.911	30.1	30.57
3	2.73	1.026	32.4	32.91
4	3.17	1.104	33.6	34.13
5	5.14	1.401	38.7	39.31

Sampler Calib	ampler Calibtation Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)						
m=	17.5790	b=	14.7154	Corr. Coeff=	0.9995		
Sar	mpler set point(SSP)	35	CFM				
		c	Calculations				
Qstd = 1/m[Sqt	rt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]		m = sampler slope				
IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/I)]	Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]		b = sampler intercept				
			I = chart response				
Qstd = standar	rd flow rate		Tav = average temperature				
IC = corrected	chart response		Pav = average pressure				
I = actual chart	response						
m = calibrator	Qstd slope						
b = calibrator	Qstd intercept						
Ta = actual ten	nperature during calibration (deg K)						
Pa = actual pre	ssure during calibration (mm Hg)						
Tstd = 298 deg	K						
Pstd = 760 mm	Hg						
For subsequen	nt calculation of sampler flow:						
(1.21*m+b)/[Sc	qrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]						
	Tim						
Checked by			Date:	30-Ja	n-20		

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Man Cheong Building	Site ID:	W-A6	Date:	11-Jan-2020
Serial No:	1050	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Tim

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	761.5	Temperature (deg K):	297.6

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-5025	Slope:	2.08653
Serial No.:	3465	Intercept:	-0.03834
Calibration Due Date:	22-Jan-20	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or	In,H2O	Qa, X-Axis	I, CFM	IC, Y-Axis
Test #	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)
1	0.94	0.484	28.9	28.95
2	1.96	0.690	30.7	30.75
3	2.49	0.776	31.6	31.65
4	3.35	0.897	32.8	32.85
5	5.01	1.093	34.6	34.66

Sampler Cambian	on Kerauonsinp (Qa on x-axis,	ic on y	-axis)		
m=	9.4564	b=	24.3208	Corr. Coeff=	0.9996
Sample	er set point(SSP)	36	CFM		
		C	Calculations		
Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(Harring)]	2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]		m = sampler slope		
IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd	l)(Tstd/Ta)]		b = sampler intercept		
			I = chart response		
Qstd = standard flo	ow rate		Tav = average temperature		
IC = corrected char	rt response		Pav = average pressure		
I = actual chart res	ponse				
m = calibrator Qst	d slope				
b = calibrator Qsto	l intercept				
Ta = actual temper	ature during calibration (deg K)			
Pa = actual pressur	re during calibration (mm Hg)				
Tstd = 298 deg K					
Pstd = 760 mm Hg					
For subsequent ca	lculation of sampler flow:				
(1.21*m+b)/[Sqrt(2)]	98/Tav)(Pav/760)]				
	Tim				

Date:

11-Jan-20

Checked by:

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Man Cheong Building	Site ID:	W-A6	Date:	30-Jan-2020
Serial No:	1050	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Tim

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	766.2	Temperature (deg K):	291.2

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-5028	Slope:	1.66723
Serial No.:	3702	Intercept:	-0.03281
Calibration Due Date:	10-Oct-20	Corr. Coeff:	0.99991

Calibration Data

Plate or	In,H2O	Qa, X-Axis	I, CFM	IC, Y-Axis
Test #	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)
1	0.84	0.578	26.7	27.12
2	1.37	0.733	29.1	29.56
3	2.65	1.011	33.6	34.13
4	3.79	1.206	36.1	36.67
5	5.02	1.385	38.7	39.31

Sampler Calibtatio	on Relationship (Qa on x-axis, I	C on y-	·axis)		
m=	15.1162	b=	18.5043	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
Sampler set point(SSP)		36	CFM		
		C	Calculations		
Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2)]	2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]		m = sampler slope		
IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd])(Tstd/Ta)]		b = sampler intercept		
			I = chart response		
Qstd = standard flo	ow rate		Tav = average temperature		
IC = corrected char	t response		Pav = average pressure		
I = actual chart resp	ponse				
m = calibrator Qsto	l slope				
b = calibrator Qstd	intercept				
Ta = actual tempera	ature during calibration (deg K)				
Pa = actual pressur	re during calibration (mm Hg)				
Tstd = 298 deg K					
Pstd = 760 mm Hg					
For subsequent cal	lculation of sampler flow:				
(1.21*m+b)/[Sqrt(29	98/Tav)(Pav/760)]				
	Tim				
Checked by:	er construint		Date:	30-Ja	n-20

Contract No. HY/2014/20	
Environmental Monitoring & Auditing	

Appendix I
Calibration Certificates (Noise)



Certificate of Calibration

for

Description:

Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer:

NTi Audio

Type No.:

XL2 (Serial No.: A2A-13548-E0)

Microphone:

ACO 7052 (Serial No.:60997)

Preamplifier:

NTi Audio MA220 (Serial No.:5287)

Submitted by:

Customer:

Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited

Address:

Unit 1908, iPlace, Nos. 301-305 Castle Peak Road,

Kwai Chung, New Territories

Upon receipt for calibration, the instrument was found to be:

☑ Within

☐ Outside

the allowable tolerance.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory

Date of receipt: 8 January 2019

Date of calibration: 10 January 2019

Calibrated by:

Calibration Technician

Certified by:

Mr. Ng Yan Wa Laboratory Manager

Date of issue: 10 January 2019

Certificate No.: APJ18-157-CC001

Page 1 of 4

Room 422, Leader Industrial Centre, 57-59 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax:(852) 2668 6946

Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com

E-mail: inquiry@aa-lab.com



1. Calibration Precaution:

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

2. Calibration Conditions:

Air Temperature: 22.3 °C Air Pressure: 1006 hPa Relative Humidity: 71.3 %

3. Calibration Equipment:

	Type	Serial No.	Calibration Report Number	Traceable to
Multifunction Calibrator	B&K 4226	2288467	AV180064	HOKLAS

4. Calibration Results

Sound Pressure Level

Reference Sound Pressure Level

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)		Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1		
Range, dB	Range, dB Freq. Weighting Time Weighting		Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB	
30-130	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	1000	94.0	±0.4

Linearity

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
				94		94.0	Ref
30-130	dBA	SPL	Fast	104	1000	104.0	±0.3
				114		114.0	±0.3

Time Weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Appl	ied value	UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
20.120	JD A	CDI	Fast	0.4	1000	94.0	Ref
30-130	30-130 dBA SPL	SPL	Slow	94	94 1000	94.0	±0.3

Certificate No.: APJ18-157-CC001

Page 2 of 4

Room 422, Leader Industrial Centre, 57-59 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax:(852) 2668 6946

Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com E-mail:inquiry@aa-lab.com



Frequency Response

Linear Response

Sett	Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. We	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
					31.5	94.0	±2.0
					63	94.1	+1.5
					125	94.1	±1.5
					250	94.0	±1.4
30-130	dB	SPL	Fast	94	500	94.0	±1.4
					1000	94.0	Ref
					2000	93.8	±1.6
					4000	93.8	±1.6
					8000	92.7	+2.1; -3.1

A-weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1							
Range, dB	Freq. Wo	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, IIz	dB	Specification, dB						
					31.5	54.8	-39.4 ±2.0						
					63	67.9	-26.2±1.5						
					125	78.0	-16.1±1.5						
					250	85.4	-8.6±1.4						
30-130	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	500	90.8	-3.2±1.4						
											1000	94.0	Ref
					2000	95.1	+1.2±1.6						
					4000	94.8	+1.0±1.6						
					8000	91.6	-1.1+2.1; -3.1						

C-weighting

Sett	Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
					31.5	91.0	-3.0 ±2.0
					63	93.2	-0.8±1.5
					125	93.9	-0.2±1.5
					250	94.0	-0.0±1.4
30-130	dBC	SPL	Fast	94	500	94.0	-0.0 ±1.4
					1000	94.0	Ref
					2000	93.7	-0.2±1.6
					4000	93.0	-0.8±1.6
					8000	89.7	-3.0 +2.1: -3.1

Certificate No.: APJ18-157-CC001

Page 3 of 4

Room 422,Leader Industrial Centre,57-59 Au Pui Wan Street ,Fo Tan, Shatin,N.T.,Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax:(852) 2668 6946
Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com E-mail:inquiry@aa-lab.com



5. Calibration Results Applied

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All calibration points are within manufacture's specification as IEC 61672 Class 1.

Uncertainties of Applied Value:

94 dB	31.5 Hz	± 0.05
	63 Hz	± 0.05
	125 Hz	+ 0.10
	250 Hz	± 0.10
	500 Hz	± 0.10
	1000 Hz	± 0.05
	2000 Hz	± 0.05
	4000 Hz	± 0.10
	8000 Hz	± 0.10
104 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05
114 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05

The uncertainties are evaluated for a 95% confidence level.

Note:

The values given in this certification only related to the values measured at the time of the calibration and any uncertainties quoted will not allow for the equipment long-term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the calibration. (A+A)*L shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



Certificate No.: APJ18-157-CC001

Page 4 of 4



Certificate of Calibration

for

Description: Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: NTi Audio

 Type No.:
 XL2 (Serial No.: A2A-13663-E0)

 Microphone:
 ACO 7052 (Serial No.:73784)

Preamplifier: NTi Audio MA220 (Serial No.:6282)

Submitted by:

Customer: Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited

Address: Unit 1908, Nos. 301-305 Castle Peak Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

Upon receipt for calibration, the instrument was found to be:

✓ Within

☐ Outside

the allowable tolerance.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory

Date of receipt: 11 September 2019

Date of calibration: 12 September 2019

Calibration Technician

Date of issue: 12 September 2019

Certified by:

Mr. Ng Yan Wa Laboratory Manager

•

((A+A) *L

Certificate No.: APJ19-078-CC001

Page 1 of 4

Room 422,Leader Industrial Centre,57-59 Au Pui Wan Street ,Fo Tan, Shatin,N.T.,Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax:(852) 2668 6946

Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com

E-mail: inquiry@aa-lab.com

Acoustics and Air Testing Laboratory Co. Ltd. 學及空氣測試實驗室有限公司

1. Calibration Precaution:

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

2. Calibration Conditions:

24.2 °€ Air Temperature: 1008 hPa Air Pressure: Relative Humidity: 69.2 %

3. Calibration Equipment:

	Type	Serial No.	Calibration Report Number	Traceable to
Multifunction Calibrator	B&K 4226	2288467	AV180064	HOKLAS

4. Calibration Results

Sound Pressure Level

Reference Sound Pressure Level

Sett	Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)		Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, dB	Freq. V	Veighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB Frequency, Hz		dB	Specification, dB
30-130	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	1000	94.0	±0.4

Linearity

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			App	lied value	UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, dB	Freq. V	Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
				94		94.0	Ref
30-130	dBA	SPL	Fast	104	1000	104.0	±0.3
				114		114.0	±0.3

Time Weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)		App	lied value	UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1		
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
30-130	JD 4	CDI	Fast	94	1000	94.0	Ref
30-130	dBA	SPL	Slow	94	1000	94.0	+0.3

Certificate No.: APJ19-078-CC001

(A+A) *1

Page 2 of 4

Room 422, Leader Industrial Centre, 57-59 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax:(852) 2668 6946 E-mail: inquiry@aa-lab.com

Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com



Frequency Response

Linear Response

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			App	lied value	UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, dB	Freq. We	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
			31.5	94.1	EC 616/2 Class I Specification, dB ±2.0 ±1.5 ±1.5 ±1.4 £1.4 Ref ±1.6 ±1.6		
				63	94.1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
				125	94.2	±1.5	
					250	94.1	±1.4
30-130	dB	SPL	Fast	94	500	94.1	±1.4
					1000	94.0	Ref
					2000	93.7	±1.6
					4000	94.1	±1.6
					8000	93.7	+2.1; -3.1

A-weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Appl	ied value	UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
					31.5	54.6	-39.4 ±2.0
					63	67.9	-26.2 ±1.5
					125	78.1	-16.1 ±1.5
					250	85.5	-8.6±1.4
30-130	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	500	90.8	-3.2±1.4
					1000	94.0	Ref
					2000	94.9	+1.2±1.6
					4000	95.1	+1.0±1.6
					8000	92.6	-1.1+2.1; -3.1

C-weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Appl	ied value	UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
					31.5	91.1	-3.0 ±2.0
					63	93.3	-0.8 ±1.5
					125	94.0	-0.2 ±1.5
					250	94.1	-0.0 ±1.4
30-130	dBC	SPL	Fast	94	500	94.1	-0.0±1.4
					1000	94.0	Ref
					2000	93.6	-0.2 ±1.6
					4000	93.4	-0.8 ±1.6
					8000	90.7	-3.0+2.1; -3.1

(A+A) *L

Page 3 of 4

Certificate No.: APJ19-078-CC001

Room 422, Leader Industrial Centre, 57-59 Au Pui Wan Street , Fo Tan, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax: (852) 2668 6946



5. Calibration Results Applied

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All calibration points are within manufacture's specification as IEC 61672 Class 1.

Uncertainties of Applied Value:

94 dB	31.5 Hz	± 0.05
	63 Hz	± 0.05
	125 Hz	± 0.05
	250 Hz	± 0.05
	500 Hz	± 0.05
	1000 Hz	± 0.05
	2000 Hz	± 0.05
	4000 IIz	± 0.05
	8000 Hz	+ 0.10
104 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05
114 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05

The uncertainties are evaluated for a 95% confidence level.

Note:

The values given in this certification only related to the values measured at the time of the calibration and any uncertainties quoted will not allow for the equipment long-term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the calibration. (A+A)*L shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



Certificate No.: APJ19-078-CC001

Page 4 of 4



CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Certificate Informati	on		电影,种外	有声象			
Date of Issue	28-Sep-2019		Ce	rtificate Number	MLCN192490S		
Customer Informatio	on				THE STREET		
Company Name Address	Unit C, 11/F, Fo No. 37-39 Wing	bility Consulting Lim ord Glory Plaza, g Hong Street, an, Kowloon, Hong Ko					
Equipment-under-To	est (EUT)						
Description Manufacturer Model Number Serial Number Equipment Number	Acoustic Calibr Pulsar 105 63705	rator					
Calibration Particula	ar				THE PERSON NAMED IN		
Date of Calibration Calibration Equipment	I have a second a second and a second						
Calibration Procedure	MLCG00, ML	CG15					
Calibration Conditions	Laboratory	Temperature Relative Humidity Stabilizing Time Warm-up Time Power Supply	23 °C ± 5 °C 55% ± 25% Over 3 hour Not applica Internal bat	rs ble			
Calibration Results		a were detailed in the cresults were within EU		1 D			
Approved By & Date			10	K.O. Lo	28-Sep-2019		
* Calibration equipment used The results on this Calibrat not include allowance for it overloading, mishandling, MaxLab Calibration Centre The copy of this Certificate prior written approval of M	ion Certificate only the EUT long term do misuse, and the capa a Limited shall not b is owned by MaxL	relate to the values measur rift, variation with environ city of any other laborator e liable for any loss or dan ab Calibration Centre Lim	red at the time o mental changes, y to repeat the r nage resulting fr	f the calibration and the vibration and shock dur- neasurement. From the use of the EUT.	ring transportation,		

Page 1 of 2

萬 儀 校 正 中 心 有 限 公 司 MaxLab Calibration Centre Limited 香港新界葵涌華星街 16-18 號保盈工業大廈 9 樓 B 室 Unit B. 9/F., Boldwin Industriat Bldg., 16-18 Wah Sing Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2116 1380 Fax: (852) 2264 6480 Email: info@maxlab.com.hk



Certificate No. MLCN192490S

Calibration Data									
EUT Setting	Standard Reading	EUT Error from Setting	Calibration Uncertainty	EUT Specification					
94 dB	93.8 dB	-0.2 dB	0.20 dB	± 0.2 dB					

- END -


Calibrated By: Date:

Dan 28-Sep-19 Checked By: Date:

K.O. Lo 28-Sep-19

Page 2 of 2

萬 儀 校 正 中 心 有 限 公 司 MaxLab Calibration Centre Limited 香港新界葵涌華星街 16-18 號保盈工業大廈 9 樓 B 室 Unit B, 9/F., Boldwin Industrial Bidg., 16-18 Wah Sing Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2116 1380 Fax: (852) 2264 6480 Email: info@maxlab.com.hk



Appendix J
The Certification of Laboratory with HOKLAS
Accredited Analytical Tests



Hong Kong Accreditation Service 香港認可處

Certificate of Accreditation

認可證書

This is to certify that 特此證明

ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LIMITED

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong 香港新界奏涌永業街1-3號忠信針織中心11樓

has been accepted by the HKAS Executive, on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a 為香港設可處執行機關根據認可證詢委員會建議而接受的

HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory 「香港實驗所認可計劃」認可實驗所

This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO / IEC 17025: 2005 — General requirements for the competence 此實驗所符合ISO / IEC 17025: 2005 — 《测試及校正實驗所能力的通用規定》所訂的要求。 of testing and calibration laboratories and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or calibrations as 摄影可進行載於香港實驗所認可計劃《認可實驗所名冊》內下述測試類別中的指定 listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories within the test category of 测试验收证工作

Environmental Testing 環境測試

This laboratory is accredited in accordance with the recognised international Standard ISO / IEC 17025 ; 2005.

本實驗所乃根據公認的國際標準 ISO / IEC 17025 ; 2005 獲得認可。

This accreditation demonstrates technical compatence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory 道項認可資格亦不在格定範碼所需的技術能力及實驗所實量管理關系的運作 quality management system (see joint IAF-ILAC-ISO Communiqué).

(見國際認可論達、國際實驗所認可合作組織及國際標準化組織的融合公認)。

The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive 香港認可處根據認可處執行機關的權限在此蓋上通用印章

CHAN Sing Sing, Terence, Executive Administrator

執行幹事 陳成城 Issue Date: 5 May 2009

簽發日期:二零零九年五月五日

Registration Number: NONLAS 066 註冊號碼:

Date of First Registration: 15 September 1995 首次註冊日期: 一九九五年九月十五日

This certificate is issued subject to the terms and conditions laid down by HKAS 本證書按照香港銀可應訂立的採款及採件發出 L 000552



Hong Kong Accreditation Service 香港認可處

Certificate of Accreditation

認可證書

This is to certify that 特此證明

ACUMEN LABORATORY AND TESTING LIMITED

浩科檢測中心有限公司

Lot 12, Tam Kon Shan Road, North Tsing Yi, New Territories, Hong Kong 香港新界青衣北担杆山路12路段

has been accepted by the HKAS Executive, on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a 在認可諮詢委員會的建議下獲香港認可處執行機關接受為

> **HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory** 「香港實驗所認可計劃」認可實驗所

This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025:2005 and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or calibrations as listed in the scope of accreditation within the test category of

Environmental Testing

此實驗所符合ISO/IEC 17025:2005所訂的要求 並獲認可進行載於認可範圍內下述測試類別中的指定測試或校正工作

環境測試

This accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025:2005 demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory quality management system (see joint IAF-ILAC-ISO Communiqué). 此項 ISO/IEC 17025:2005 的認可資格證明此實驗所具備指定範疇內所須的技術能力並 實施一套實驗所質量管理體系(見國際認可論壇、國際實驗所認可合作組織及國際標準化組織的聯合公報)。

The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive 現經香港認可處執行機關授權在此蓋上香港認可處的印章

WONG Wang-wah, Executive Administrator

執行幹事 黃宏華 Issue Date: 16 July 2014

簽發日期:二零一四年七月十六日

Registration Number : HOKLAS 241


註冊號碼:


Date of First Registration: 16 July 2014 首次註冊日期:二零一四年七月十六日

L 001195

This certificate is issued subject to the terms and conditions laid down by HKAS 本證書按照香港認可處訂立的條款及條件發出

Appendix K
Location Plan of Noise and Air Quality
Monitoring Station





Appendix L
Monitoring Data (Air Monitoring)

Location: Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road) (W-A1)

Monitoring date: 3, 7, 13, 18, 24, 30 January 2020

Parameter: TSP 1-hour

Other Factors Nearby traffic, construction work from West Kowloon Government

Offices, school activities

	1-hour TSP (μg/m³)							
Date	Weather	Start Time	1 st Hour (μg/m³)	2 nd Hour (μg/m³)	3 rd Hour (μg/m³)			
03/01/2020	Fine	9:32	55	49	47			
07/01/2020	Cloudy	9:48	51	47	56			
13/01/2020	Fine	9:38	46	50	52			
18/01/2020	Fine	10:30	56	63	52			
24/01/2020	Sunny	11:15	49	57	51			
30/01/2020	Sunny	10:22	78	80	79			

Location: Man Cheong Building (W-A6)
Monitoring date: 3, 7, 13, 18, 24, 30 January 2020

Parameter: TSP 1-hour
Other Factors Nearby traffic

			1-hour TSP (µ	ıg/m³)	
Date	Weather	Start Time	1 st Hour (μg/m³)	2 nd Hour (μg/m³)	3 rd Hour (μg/m³)
03/01/2020	Fine	9:05	61	57	54
07/01/2020	Cloudy	10:12	58	61	48
13/01/2020	Fine	9:00	61	63	55
18/01/2020	Fine	9:35	48	55	51
24/01/2020	Sunny	10:30	58	51	62
30/01/2020	Sunny	10:10	70	76	73




Figure 1: Graphical Illustration of Measured 1-hour TSP ($\mu g/m^3$) Levels at W-A1




Figure 2: Graphical Illustration of Measured 1-hour TSP (μg/m³) Levels at W-A6

Location: Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road) (W-A1)

Monitoring date: 3, 7, 13, 18, 24, 30 January 2020

Parameter: TSP 24-hour

Other Factors Nearby traffic, construction work from West Kowloon Government Offices

Date of Calibration:	28-Dec-19	Slope =	21.3304
Calibration due date:	12-Jan-20	Intercept =	19.7509
Date of Calibration:	11-Jan-20	Slope =	6.6917
Calibration due date:	26-Jan-20	Intercept =	28.3457
Date of Calibration:	30-Jan-20	Slope =	17.5790
Calibration due date:	14-Feb-20	Intercept =	14.7154

Start Date	Weather Condition		Elapse Time		C	Chart Reading		Avg Air Temp	Avg Atmospheric Pressure	Flow Rate	Standard Air Volume	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate weight	Conc.
		Initial	Final	Actual (min)	Min	Min Max Avg		(°C)	(mm Hg)	(m³/min)	(m ³)	Initial	Final	(g)	$(\mu g/m^3)$
03/01/2020	Fine	3072.6	3096.6	1440.0	37	38	37.5	18.9	767.3	0.87	1249	2.7092	2.8250	0.1158	93
07/01/2020	Cloudy	3096.6	3120.6	1440.0	36	38	37.0	22.4	763.0	0.82	1185	2.7340	2.8463	0.1123	95
13/01/2020	Fine	3120.8	3144.8	1440.0	37	38	37.5	18.3	763.4	1.46	2099	2.7118	2.8319	0.1201	57
18/01/2020	Fine	3144.8	3168.8	1440.0	38	38	38.0	18.3	764.8	1.54	2223	2.7395	2.8218	0.0823	37
24/01/2020	Sunny	3168.8	3192.8	1440.0	36	37	36.5	21.5	763.6	1.26	1816	2.7551	2.8144	0.0593	33
30/01/2020	Sunny	3193.1	3217.1	1440.0	36	38	37.0	14.7	766.2	1.32	1904	2.7297	2.7691	0.0394	21

Location: Man Cheong Building (W-A6)

Monitoring date: 3, 7, 13, 18, 24, 30 January 2020

Parameter: TSP 24-hour
Other Factors Nearby traffic

Date of Calibration:	28-Dec-19	Slope =	40.0317
Calibration due date:	12-Jan-20	Intercept =	-2.9993
Date of Calibration:	11-Jan-20	Slope =	9.4564
Calibration due date:	26-Jan-20	Intercept =	24.3208
Date of Calibration:	30-Jan-20	Slope =	15.1162
Calibration due date:	14-Feb-20	Intercept =	18.5043

Start Date	Weather Condition		Elapse Time		C	Chart Reading		Avg Air Temp	Avg Atmospheric Pressure	Flow Rate Standard Air Volume		Filter Weight (g)		Particulate weight	Conc.
	Condition	Initial	Final	Actual (min)	Min	Max	Avg	(°C)	(mm Hg)	(m³/min)	(m ³)	Initial	Final	(g)	(μg/m ³)
03/01/2020	Fine	2709.5	2733.5	1440.00	35	36	35.5	18.9	767.3	0.98	1411	2.7341	2.8646	0.1305	93
07/01/2020	Cloudy	2733.5	2757.5	1440.00	36	38	37.0	22.4	763.0	1.37	1978	2.7108	2.8193	0.1085	55
13/01/2020	Fine	2757.7	2781.7	1440.00	36	37	36.5	18.3	763.4	1.35	1943	2.6837	2.8165	0.1328	68
18/01/2020	Fine	2781.7	2805.7	1440.00	35	37	36.0	18.3	764.8	1.30	1876	2.7105	2.8035	0.0930	50
24/01/2020	Sunny	2805.7	2829.7	1440.00	35	36	35.5	21.5	763.6	1.22	1760	2.7634	2.8351	0.0717	41
30/01/2020	Sunny	2829.9	2853.9	1440.00	36	38	37.0	14.7	766.2	1.29	1854	2.7168	2.7744	0.0576	31




Figure 3: Graphical Illustration of Measured 24-hour TSP ($\mu g/m^3$) Levels at W-A1




Figure 4: Graphical Illustration of Measured 24-hour TSP (µg/m³) Levels at W-A6

Extract of Meteorological Observations for Hong Kong, January 2020

Date January	Number of hours of Reduced Visibility (hours)	Total Bright Sunshine (hours)	Daily Global Solar Radiation (MJ/m²)	Total Evaporation (mm)	Prevailing Wind Direction (degrees)	Mean Wind Speed (km/h)
1	0	0.2	4.92	1.6	080	33.5
2	1	3.6	8.36	1.5	050	24.7
3	2	8.9	15.70	2.3	040	21.6
4	1	8.6	15.20	2.2	060	18.4
5	0	6.6	14.66	2.5	060	34.8
6	0	6.7	14.99	2.5	060	24.5
7	0	8.0	13.10	2.3	020	11.8
8	0	8.1	16.17	4.2	010	20.3
9	0	5.0	12.61	2.4	070	35.6
10	0	6.1	12.75	2.2	060	26.3
11	4	7.0	13.60	3.9	040	16.1
12	0	7.9	14.40	2.8	360	24.4
13	0	2.5	10.42	1.5	050	29.8
14	0	9.4	16.17	2.8	060	27.3
15	2	5.8	13.99	2.9	070	37.8
16	7	7.2	15.21	3.1	060	28.0
17	0	0.1	6.34	2.6	010	20.4
18	0	6.5	13.83	2.5	040	25.7
19	2	1.1	9.52	2.7	050	24.8
20	0	2.9	11.06	2.0	020	21.2
21	0	4.9	12.30	2.3	060	33.5
22	3	4.3	11.39	1.5	030	18.5
23	0	7.6	14.44	2.0	020	16.8

24	0	4.6	13.16	2.7	040	26.1
25	1	0.9	8.21	1.5	060	37.5
26	0	1.5	7.59	3.5	360	25.8
27	0	2.7	9.19	2.3	350	28.7
28	0	4.4	10.35	2.4	360	29.3
29	0	7.2	14.87	4.4	360	34.8
30	0	10.2	20.55	4.0	360	31.3
31	0	10.2	19.51	2.4	070	25.2
Mean/Total	23	170.7	12.73	79.5	060	26.3
Normal	221.9	143.0	10.17	71.3	060	25.3
Station	Hong Kong International Airport		King's Park	Waglan Island		

Contract No. HY/2014/20
Environmental Monitoring & Auditing

Appendix M
Monitoring Data (Noise)

Location: Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road) (W-N1A)

Monitoring date: 3, 7, 13, 18, 24, 30 January 2020

Parameter: L_{eq} , L_{10} , L_{90}

Other Factors Nearby traffic, construction work from West Kowloon Government

Offices, school activities

Noise Monitoring data:

Date	Weather	Start Time	-	End Time	$L_{\rm eq}$	L_{10}	L ₉₀	Wind speed (m/s)
03/01/2020	Fine	12:45	-	13:15	60.3	62.3	58.4	3.6
07/01/2020	Cloudy	13:18	-	13:48	63.6	65.4	60.2	2.3
13/01/2020	Fine	9:38	-	10:08	61.6	63.4	58.8	3.8
18/01/2020	Fine	10:30	-	11:00	63.2	65.2	60.2	4.1
24/01/2020	Sunny	11:15	-	11:45	63.5	65.6	60.6	3.3
30/01/2020	Sunny	10:22	-	12:01	62.0	64.9	60.2	3.7

Remark: Limit level was 65 dB(A) during examination period from 9 to 14 January 2020 at W-N1A.

Location: Hydan Place (W-N18)

Monitoring date: 3, 7, 13, 18, 24, 30 January 2020

 $\begin{array}{ll} Parameter: & L_{eq}, L_{10}, \ L_{90} \\ \\ Other \ Factors & Nearby \ traffic \\ \end{array}$

Noise Monitoring data:

Date	Weather	Start Time	-	End Time	$L_{ m eq}$	L_{10}	L ₉₀	Wind speed (m/s)
03/01/2020	Fine	11:10	-	11:40	68.2	69.9	66.9	3.6
07/01/2020	Cloudy	11:13	-	11:43	66.0	68.7	64.0	2.3
13/01/2020	Fine	12:15	-	12:45	68.3	70.1	66.7	3.8
18/01/2020	Fine	13:05	-	13:35	66.8	68.7	63.5	4.1
24/01/2020	Sunny	12:38	-	13:08	68.2	70.1	65.0	3.3
30/01/2020	Sunny	11:31	-	12:01	65.7	67.9	63.1	3.7

Location: Prosperous Garden Block 1 (W-N25A)

Monitoring date: 3, 7, 13, 18, 24, 30 January 2020

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Parameter:} & L_{\text{eq}}, L_{10}, \ L_{90} \\ \\ \text{Other Factors} & \text{Nearby traffic} \end{array}$

Noise Monitoring data:

Date	Weather	Start Time	-	End Time	$L_{\rm eq}$	L_{10}	L ₉₀	Wind speed (m/s)
03/01/2020	Fine	10:25	-	10:55	67.2	69.5	65.0	3.6
07/01/2020	Cloudy	10:20	-	10:50	65.2	68.0	62.7	2.3
13/01/2020	Fine	11:34	-	12:04	67.0	68.4	64.7	3.8
18/01/2020	Fine	11:25	-	11:55	66.0	67.9	62.7	4.1
24/01/2020	Sunny	11:58	-	12:28	65.4	67.4	62.4	3.3
30/01/2020	Sunny	10:32	-	12:01	64.2	66.9	61.8	3.7

Location: The Coronation Tower 1 (W-P11)

Monitoring date: 3, 7, 13, 18, 24, 30 January 2020

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Parameter}: & L_{\mbox{\scriptsize eq}}, L_{10}, \ L_{90} \\ \mbox{Other Factors} & \mbox{Nearby traffic} \end{array}$

Noise Monitoring data:

Date	Weather	Start Time	-	End Time	$L_{\rm eq}$	L_{10}	L ₉₀	Wind Speed (m/s)
03/01/2020	Fine	11:57	-	12:27	64.3	66.3	62.1	3.6
07/01/2020	Cloudy	12:30	-	13:00	64.7	65.8	62.4	2.3
13/01/2020	Fine	10:50	-	11:20	67.6	69.4	66.3	3.8
18/01/2020	Fine	9:45	-	10:15	65.6	67.9	62.5	4.1
24/01/2020	Sunny	13:22	-	13:52	66.6	68.6	63.5	3.3
30/01/2020	Sunny	12:30	-	12:01	64.7	67.6	62.2	3.7




Figure 1: Graphical Illustration of Measured Noise Levels at W-N1A Remark: Limit level was 65 dB(A) during examination period from 9 to 14 January 2020 at W-N1A.




Figure 2: Graphical Illustration of Measured Noise Levels at W-N18




Figure 3: Graphical Illustration of Measured Noise Levels at W-N25A




Figure 4: Graphical Illustration of Measured Noise Levels at W-P11

Contract No. HY/2014/20
Environmental Monitoring & Auditing

Appendix N Waste Flow Table

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Name of Department: Highways Department

Monthly Summary Weste Flow Table for January 2020

Contract No. / Works Order No.: <u>HY/2014/20</u>

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for <u>January 2020</u>
[to be submitted not later than the 15th day of each month following reporting month] (All quantities shall be rounded off to 1 decimal place.)

		Actual Quantities of <u>Inert</u> Construction Waste Generated Monthly					
Month	(a)=(b)+(c)+(d)+(e)+ (f)+ (g)+ (h)+ (i)+ (j)+ (k) Total Quantity Generated	(b) Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	(c) Reused in the Contract	(d) Reused in other Projects	(e) Disposed of as Public Fill	(f) Imported Fill	
	(in 'tonnes)	(in 'tonnes)	(in 'tonnes)	(in 'tonnes)	(in 'tonnes)	(in 'tonnes)	
2018	15.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
2019	71691.8	0.0	5534.0	8066.8	57313.6	415.6	
Jan-20	7797.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	7784.9	0.0	
Feb-20							
Mar-20							
Apr-20							
May-20							
Jun-20							
Sub-total	7797.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	7784.9	0.0	
Jul-20							
Aug-20							
Sep-20							
Oct-20							
Nov-20							
Dec-20							
Total	7797.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	7784.9	0.0	

	Actual Quantities of Non-inert Construction Waste Generated Monthly								
Month	(¿ Me	g) tals	(l Paper/ cardbo	n) ard packaging	(i Plas) tics	Chemic	(j) al Waste	(k) Others, e.g. General Refuse disposed at Landfill
	(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in 'tonnes)
	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated
2018	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.7
2019	0.0	106.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.5	2.1	0.0	252.0
Jan-20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	11.9
Feb-20									
Mar-20									
Apr-20									
May-20									
Jun-20									
Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	11.9
Jul-20									
Aug-20									
Sep-20									
Oct-20									
Nov-20									
Dec-20									
Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	11.9

Appendix O
Statistics on Complaint, Notifications of
Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Statistical Summary of Exceedances

Air Quality						
Location	Action Level	Limit Level	Total			
W-A1	0	0	0			
W-A6	0	0	0			
Noise						
Location	Action Level	Limit Level	Total			
W-N1A	0	0	0			
W-N18	0	0	0			
W-N25A	0	0	0			
W-P11	0	0	0			

Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

Reporting	Environmental Complaint Statistics					
Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature			
1 Jan 2020-	0	0	NI/A			
31 Jan 2020	0	U	N/A			

Statistical Summary of Environmental Non-compliance

Reporting	rting Environmental Non-compliance Statistics				
Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Details		
1 Jan 2020- 31 Jan 2020	0	0	N/A		

Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

Similar Summing of Englishments Summons						
Reporting	Environmental Summons Statistics					
Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Details			
1 Jan 2020-	0	0	NI/A			
31 Jan 2020	U	U	N/A			

Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

Reporting	En	vironmental Prosecution Statis	tics
Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Details
1 Jan 2020- 31 Jan 2020	0	0	N/A





Note: Noise monitoring station at the Coronation Tower 1 (W-P11) was temporarily suspended from 3 February 2020 to 16 February 2020 due to a case of novel coronavirus infection at the Coronation Block 2.